



Constitutional Rights Foundation's
2000 CALIFORNIA MOCK TRIAL PROGRAM



Errata and Case Supplements
for
People v. Rose

1. Page i, add "The Honorable Christopher Yeager, Imperial County Municipal Court" to the list of Reviewers
2. Page 22, lines 12-13, replace "one or both of these drugs" with "Rohypnol"
3. Page 24, lines 1 and 3, replace "Jamie" with "Jaime"
4. Page 25, line 18, delete "participate in Rush Week" and add, "rush." The text should read, "... favorite 10th graders to rush."
5. Page 28, line 44, delete "tomorrow night." The text should read, "...they are going to blackball you..."
6. Page 30, line 37, add, "I have since deactivated from Sigma Zeta Zeta Pi."
7. Page 31, line 43, after "arrived within minutes," add, "; I spoke with Officer Matsumoto briefly."
8. Page 65, delete paragraph 2. Replace with **"The judge's ruling on the pretrial motion will have a direct bearing on the allowable evidence and possible outcome of the trial. Please note that for the purposes of the mock trial, if the defense prevails at pretrial, the screen saver and/or the computer document will not be allowed as evidence during the trial."**
9. The screen saver exhibit can be used during the pretrial arguments.
10. There is no legal or factual issue regarding dates. The case does not correlate to any specific calendar year.
11. In rounds in which there is no pretrial hearing, the evidence seized from the defendant's apartment is admissible. As always, legal and constitutional issues are matters exclusive to the pretrial hearing.