JAMES MADISON AND THE BILL OF RIGHTS

THE BILL OF RIGHTS WAS NOT A SURE THING WHEN JAMES MADISON INTRODUCED HIS AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION AT THE FIRST CONGRESS. MANY FEDERALISTS THOUGHT HIS AMENDMENTS WERE UNNECESSARY. MOST ANTI-FEDERALISTS BELIEVED THE CONSTITUTION NEEDED MORE RADICAL AMENDMENTS TO RESTORE POWER TO THE STATES.

The Articles of Confederation, adopted in 1776, gave the state governments great power, showing that most Americans felt that lawmaking should be done mainly by the states. Despite their distrust of a strong national government, many Americans soon began to see flaws in the Articles of Confederation. For example, even during the Revolutionary War, the Confederation Congress had great difficulty raising money from the states.

In 1787, representatives from the 13 states met at Philadelphia to fix the problems of the Articles of Confederation. The delegates quickly realized that an entirely new constitution was needed to sort out which powers should go to the national government and which to the states. The meeting turned into the Constitutional Convention. Through a series of hard-fought compromises, the delegates designed the U.S. Constitution, a federal system of government based on shared powers.

During the convention, George Mason, a delegate from Virginia, proposed to add a bill of rights to the Constitution. He wanted specific guarantees to protect the people and states from abuses by the new federal (national) government.

The delegates briefly debated Mason’s proposal for a bill of rights, but voted to turn down the idea. Most delegates thought it unnecessary, but Mason and a handful of others disagreed.

Federalists vs. Anti-Federalists

For the Constitution to go into effect, at least nine states had to ratify it at conventions where elected delegates debated the new federal form of government. Those in favor of ratification were called Federalists and those against, Anti-Federalists (or Antis).

BREAKING NEW GROUND

This edition of Bill of Rights in Action explores issues related to groundbreaking moments in history. The first article looks at how James Madison, the “father” of the U.S. Constitution, who initially opposed adding a bill of rights to the Constitution, also became the “father” of the Bill of Rights. The second article examines how Hatshepsut assumed the throne and became Egypt’s first woman pharaoh.

U.S. History: James Madison and the Bill of Rights • World History: Hatshepsut: How a Woman Took the Throne

Our longtime contributor Carlton Martz wrote about Madison and the Bill of Rights. Guest writer Lucy Eisenberg, Esq., wrote the article on Hatshepsut.
The Federalists were generally satisfied with the Constitution. They believed the powers granted to the Congress, executive (president), and courts struck the right balance between the states and a strong but limited federal government.

The Anti-Federalists argued that the powers listed in the Constitution weighed too heavily in favor of the federal government and drastically weakened the powers of the states. Many Antis began to demand a second constitutional convention to increase the power of the states and add a bill of rights.

Fearing that ratification of the Constitution was in danger, the Federalists answered the Antis with newspaper articles, pamphlets, personal letters, and speeches. Alexander Hamilton from New York organized a series of newspaper essays to defend the Constitution. Written by James Madison, John Jay, and himself, these essays are often called the Federalist Papers.

Patrick Henry, who had shouted ‘Give me liberty or give me death!’ at the beginning of the American Revolution, stood out as one of the most ‘Anti’ of the Anti-Federalists.

James Madison from Virginia said nothing about a bill of rights during the Constitutional Convention, but was personally skeptical. In some of his Federalist Papers essays, Madison pointed out that when it suited them, legislatures in states with their own bill of rights simply ignored these statements of liberties written on paper (he called them “paper barriers”). Thus, Madison believed a bill of rights added to the Constitution would be similarly ignored.

Delaware, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Georgia, and Connecticut quickly ratified the Constitution at their state conventions. But in Massachusetts, the Antis proposed that their state convention ratify the Constitution only if it was amended to weaken the federal government and include a bill of rights.

After vigorous debate, the Massachusetts convention turned down the Antis proposal and voted for ratification. But the convention also recommended a long list of constitutional amendments for the First Congress to consider. Other states followed Massachusetts and submitted their own proposals for amendments.

Under Article V, the Constitution could be amended in two ways. Congress could adopt amendments by a two-thirds vote in the House of Representatives and the Senate. Or, two-thirds of the states could petition Congress to call a convention of the states for proposing amendments. In either case, three-fourths of the states would have to ratify any amendments.

On June 21, 1788, New Hampshire became the ninth state to ratify the Constitution. This assured the Constitution’s federal system would become the foundation of the U.S. government. But Virginia and New York had yet to vote on ratification at their state conventions. Without these important states in the Union, many predicted the experiment in federalism would fail.

Virginia’s Ratification Convention

Patrick Henry, who had shouted “Give me liberty or give me death!” at the beginning of the American Revolution, stood out as one of the most “Anti” of the Anti-Federalists. The most powerful member of Virginia’s state legislature, he led that state’s strong opposition to ratifying the Constitution.

An emotional orator, Henry dominated the debates at Virginia’s ratification convention in June 1788. He predicted that the new federal government would “destroy the State governments, and swallow the liberties of the people.” Only a bill of rights and strong independent state governments, he declared, could protect individual liberty from federal government abuses. Without a bill of rights, he warned, federal tax officials could “go into your cellars and rooms, and search and measure, everything you eat, drink and wear.”

George Mason, Henry’s chief ally at the Virginia ratification convention, was more specific in his criticism of the Constitution. Mason attacked the power of Congress to tax the people directly rather than by raising revenue from the state legislatures.

Henry and Mason planned a two-part strategy. First, they wanted Virginia to reject the Constitution. Failing that, they supported ratifying it on the condition that a bill of rights and other amendments proposed by Virginia’s convention were adopted by Congress, or even better by a second constitutional convention.

James Madison answered the attacks on the Constitution by Henry, Mason, and the other Antis. Madison spoke quietly with logic and detailed arguments. He pleaded against a second constitutional convention that he feared would “mutilate” the federal system.
In light of all the demands for a bill of rights, Madison reluctantly began to accept the idea that it might be best to debate amendments for a bill of rights in the First Congress. Madison worried what could happen to the Constitution if an uncontrollable second constitutional convention were called.

The Antis were in a bind because everybody assumed George Washington, also from Virginia, would be elected president. But if Virginia did not ratify the Constitution, it would remain outside the Union, and Washington could not run for president. The Virginia convention ratified the Constitution on June 25. It also recommended adding a bill of rights to the Constitution, but it did not condition its ratification on adding one.

Finally, Henry and Madison agreed to recommend 40 amendments for the First Congress to consider. Half included a list of rights and the rest involved making changes to the Constitution itself. Madison found many of those “highly objectionable.”

On July 26, the New York convention overcame strong Anti-Federalist opposition to ratify the Constitution. That convention proposed 30 amendments for Congress to debate.

**Madison’s Bill of Rights**

Patrick Henry distrusted Madison, who had decided to run for a seat in the U.S. House of Representatives. Henry believed if Madison were elected, he would not back the more radical Virginia amendments that would restore more power to the states.

Using his powerful position in the Virginia state legislature, Henry redrew the boundaries of Madison’s congressional district to include more voters opposed to the new federal government. Then Henry recruited James Monroe, a Revolutionary War hero, to run against Madison.

During the campaign, Madison decided that if elected he would introduce a bill of rights to Congress. Madison believed that this was necessary to undermine the Anti-Federalist’s plan to call a second constitutional convention, threatening the federal system. He also promised a group of Baptist voters, a Christian minority in Virginia, to protect freedom of religion.

In the election of 1789, Madison easily defeated Monroe. In addition, the Federalists won solid majorities in the both the House and Senate. Washington was unanimously elected president.

The First Congress met in April 1789 in New York City, then the U.S. capital. The House of Representatives decided to conduct its sessions in public. The Senate chose to meet in secret. The primary business of this Congress was to create a new federal government based on the Constitution.

On June 8, Madison introduced 19 amendments to the House of Representatives. These amendments, with one major exception, restricted the lawmaking power of only the federal government.

Madison began by introducing a preamble on the natural rights of the people followed by amendments on the size of the House membership and when members could vote on increasing their pay. Then he quickly got to amendments that became the basis of the First Amendment in our Bill of Rights:

- No establishment of a “national religion.”
• No infringement of religious conscience.
• No abridgment of speech, writing, publication, or the press.
• No restraint of the right of assembly and petition “for redress of grievances.”

Next, Madison proposed “the right of the people to bear arms” to maintain a militia, but added no one should be forced to do military service. Neither could the government quarter any troops in homes without the owner’s consent.

Madison went on to discuss “due process of law” amendments: no searches and seizures without specific warrants based on probable cause, no self-incrimination, no excessive bail or fines, no “cruel and unusual punishments,” no double jeopardy, and no government taking of property “without just compensation.”

Madison’s amendments relating to criminal trials guaranteed a defendant a grand jury indictment in death penalty cases, a speedy public trial by an impartial jury, notice of charges, confrontation of witnesses, subpoena of defense witnesses, assistance of an attorney, and unanimous conviction by the jury. Another amendment guaranteed trial by jury in civil cases.

Madison included an amendment that prohibited any of the three branches of the national government from infringing on the powers and duties of the others. He also added amendments that declared “other rights” that he did not name were “retained by the people” and powers not granted to the federal government were “reserved to the States.”

Madison purposely chose amendments for his bill of rights that he believed were widely accepted. Two-thirds of both houses of Congress needed to approve them and three-fourths of the states needed to ratify them.

One of Madison’s amendments proved to be very controversial. This was his only amendment that directly restricted the lawmaking power of the states: “No State shall violate the equal rights of [religious] conscience, or the freedom of the press, or the trial by jury in criminal cases.”

After Madison finished speaking, he was surprised that nearly all the other House members who then spoke, Federalists and Anti-Federalists alike, criticized one part or another of his bill of rights. Madison answered their objections and said his amendments “would calm the people and stabilize the government.”

Some argued that amendments should not be added to the Constitution before it even had a chance to operate. They pointed out that the priority of the House should be to complete organizing the new federal government. The House postponed the matter and later sent Madison’s amendments to a select committee, which included Madison. This committee reduced the number of his amendments to 17 and made some changes in wording.
Debating and Ratifying the Bill of Rights

The debate in the House of Representatives on Madison’s bill of rights amendments began on August 1, 1789, and went on for about a dozen days. By this time, Madison was an enthusiastic advocate. He argued that a bill of rights was needed to protect unpopular religious groups and other minorities from hostile laws enacted by the majority. He predicted that the federal courts would consider themselves “the guardians of those rights.”

The first question was whether the amendments should be inserted into appropriate places in the body of the Constitution or listed at the end. Madison wanted them inserted to make the Constitution “uniform and entire.” The select committee agreed and after some debate so did the House. The House next debated and voted on each of the 17 amendments recommended by the select committee.

Without much debate, the House dropped Madison’s preambles and accepted his first and second amendments on setting the number of House members and when they could vote to raise their pay. But controversy arose over the wording of the freedom of religion amendment. The House finally agreed on “Congress shall make no law establishing religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, nor shall the rights of Conscience be infringed.”

House members next approved a separate amendment on freedom of speech, press, assembly, and petition. They also agreed with the right to bear arms and kept the military service exemption for religious reasons.

The debate on most of the other amendments raised little controversy. But heated debate broke out over Madison’s proposal to prohibit the states from infringing on freedom of religion, freedom of the press, the right of a trial by jury in criminal cases, and freedom of speech.

Heated debate broke out over Madison’s proposal to prohibit the states from infringing on freedom of religion, freedom of the press, the right of a trial by jury in criminal cases, and freedom of speech.

The Antis called it an invasion of states’ rights. Thomas Tucker of South Carolina declared, “It will be much better to have the state governments to themselves, and not to interfere with them more than we already do, and that is thought by many to be rather too much.” Nevertheless, the strong Federalist majority in the House voted to keep this amendment.

The greatly outnumbered Anti-Federalists demanded that the House debate all the 100 or so amendments recommended by the states, not just those picked by Madison. Many of these amendments called for shifting powers of Congress back to the states. Unless these amendments were debated, the Antis threatened, they would call for a second constitutional convention. Madison and the Federalists dreaded another convention, but they voted down the Antis demand.

The House of Representatives approved the 17 amendments and sent them to the Senate. In a final act, the House voted to add them at the end of the Constitution rather than insert them into it.

We know little about the debates in the Senate because they were held in secret. The senators approved most of the House amendments, but deleted the religious exemption from military service. They also dropped Madison’s amendment that prohibited

‘Paper Barriers’

Between his writing in support of the Constitution in The Federalist Papers and his introduction of a bill of rights to the First Congress, James Madison changed his mind about “paper barriers.” Below is his statement about them during the First Congress on June 8, 1789.

The [rights] in favor of liberty ought to be levelled against the quarter where the greatest danger lies, namely, that which possesses the highest [degree] of power. But this is not found in either the Executive or Legislative departments of Government, but in the body of the people, operating by the majority against the minority.

It may be thought that all paper barriers against the power of the community are too weak to be worthy of attention. I am sensible they are not so strong . . . ; yet, as they have a tendency to impress some degree of respect for them, to establish the public opinion in their favor, and rouse the attention of the whole community, it may be one means to control the majority from those acts to which they might otherwise be inclined.

1. What does Madison mean when he uses the term “paper barriers”?
2. Why does Madison think “paper barriers” still would help control abuse of the liberties of the minority by the majority?
the states from infringing on freedom of religion, speech, press, and the right of a criminal jury trial.

In addition, the Senate also combined and made some amendments more concise to reduce the number to 12. After final changes in wording by the House and Senate conference committee, 12 amendments were submitted to the states for ratification on September 24, 1789.

Despite opposition from Patrick Henry, Virginia’s ratification of the Bill of Rights on December 21, 1791, assured its addition to the Constitution. Three-fourths of the 14 states (Vermont was the 14th) approved all but the first two amendments (setting the number of House members and the method of voting for their pay). The remaining 10 amendments became our Bill of Rights. Massachusetts, Georgia, and Connecticut took no official action on ratification until 1939.

As Madison predicted, the addition of the Bill of Rights to the Constitution led to the collapse of the Anti-Federalists’ movement for a second constitutional convention.

**Ignored, Forgotten, Rediscovered**

The first test of the Bill of Rights occurred during the presidency of John Adams, a Federalist. In 1798, during a war scare against revolutionary France, the heavily Federalist Congress passed the Sedition Act. This law made it a crime to write, print, say, or publish anything “false, scandalous and malicious” about the president or members of Congress.

Under this law, a number of newspaper editors and others were arrested, tried, fined, and imprisoned. The appointed federal court judges, mostly Federalists, vigorously enforced the Sedition Act.

Madison and Thomas Jefferson charged that the Sedition Act violated the Bill of Rights, but they got nowhere. They turned to the states, calling for them to interrupt the enforcement of the law. The controversy did not end until the law expired in 1801 when Jefferson became president.

For more than a century after the Sedition Act expired, the Bill of Rights was largely forgotten. The First Amendment was not interpreted by the U.S. Supreme Court until 1919 in a wartime free speech case.

The 14th Amendment, added in 1868 stated, “nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law . . . .” In the 20th century, the U.S. Supreme Court interpreted this clause to incorporate the “fundamental rights” in the Bill of Rights, thus applying them to the states, as Madison had wanted. On a case-by-case basis, the Supreme Court has ruled that most of the Bill of Rights are incorporated and hence apply to the states as well as the federal government. Those rights originally introduced to the First Congress by Madison in 1789 are at the center of
many controversial issues today from the continuing fight over federal versus state powers to legal battles over same-sex marriage and religious freedom.

DISCUSSION & WRITING
1. How did Madison and Anti-Federalists disagree over his amendment to apply part of the Bill of Rights to the states? Which side do you think was right? Use evidence from the article to support your position.

2. The Anti-Federalists demanded a bill of rights during the ratification of the Constitution. But during the ratification of the Bill of Rights, Patrick Henry and many other Antis opposed it. Why?

3. What evidence is there that the Bill of Rights was merely a “paper barrier” for more than a century?

ACTIVITY: Which Version of the First Amendment Is Best?

The First Congress considered four versions of the First Amendment before finally adopting a fifth version, which became the one in today’s Bill of Rights.

1. Divide the class into five small groups and a large one of 14 students, representing the 14 states at the time the Bill of Rights was ratified (Vermont joined the Union in 1791).

2. Each of the small groups will be assigned one version of the First Amendment and will try to persuade the large group of 14 states that its version is better than any of the others and should be in the Bill of Rights.

3. The group of 14 may ask questions of the small groups during this debate.

4. After the five groups have each presented their case for best First Amendment, the group of 14 will discuss and vote for the one it thinks is the best. The group must reach a three-fourths supermajority (at least 11 votes) as required by Article V of the Constitution.

Madison’s Original Version: The civil rights of none shall be abridged on account of religious belief or worship, nor shall any national religion be established, nor shall the full and equal rights of conscience be in any manner, or any pretext, infringed.

The people shall not be deprived or abridged of their right to speak, to write, or to publish their sentiments; and the freedom of the press, as one of the great bulwarks of liberty, shall be inviolable.

The people shall not be restrained from peaceably assembling and consulting for their common good; nor from applying to the Legislature by petitions, or remonstrances, for redress of their grievances. [To be inserted in Constitution Article I, Section 9, between Clauses 3 and 4]

Version Reported by the House Select Committee: No religion shall be established by law, nor shall the equal rights of conscience be infringed.

The freedom of speech, and of the press, and the right of the people peaceably to assemble and consult for their common good, and to apply to the government for redress of grievances, shall not be infringed. [To be inserted in Constitution Article I, Section 9, between clauses 2 and 3]

Version Passed by the House of Representatives: Article The Third. Congress shall make no law establishing religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, nor shall the rights of Conscience be infringed.

Article The Fourth. The Freedom of Speech, and of the Press, and the right of the People peaceably to assemble, and consult for the common good, and to apply to the Government for a redress of grievances, shall not be infringed.

Version Passed by the Senate: Article The Third. Congress shall make no law establishing articles of faith, or a mode of worship, or prohibiting the free exercise of religion, or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press, or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition to the government for a redress of grievances.

Version Sent to the States for Ratification: Article The Third. Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press, or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances. [Today’s First Amendment]
HATSHEPSUT: HOW A WOMAN TOOK THE THRONE

A female, Hatshepsut (c. 1506-1458 B.C.) ruled Egypt as its pharaoh (the Egyptian word for king) for about 22 years.

HATSHEPSUT LIVED 3,500 YEARS AGO. IN SPITE OF CENTURIES OF TRADITION THAT A PHARAOH MUST BE A MALE, SHE ROSE TO BE THE LEADER OF THE KINGDOM OF EGYPT AND BROUGHT EGYPT TO A NEW PERIOD OF PROSPERITY.

Hatshepsut was born in Thebes, which by 1500 B.C. had become the center of ancient Egypt. Her father, Thutmose I, ruled as pharaoh (the Egyptian word for king) from 1506 to 1493. Her mother was a princess of royal blood.

Her father died when Hatshepsut was about 12, and her half-brother and husband Thutmose II became pharaoh (marriage between brothers and sisters was common in Egypt to ensure the purity of the royal line). As a wife of the pharaoh, Hatshepsut was accorded the conventional queen’s titles of “king’s daughter,” “king’s sister,” and “king’s wife.” She bore a daughter, named Neferure, but no sons. Like other, pharaohs, however, Thutmose II had more than one wife, and one of those women bore him a son, named Thutmose III. Being a male, Thutmose III was destined to succeed his father as pharaoh.

Historians believe that Hatshepsut behaved as a traditional queen during her husband’s brief reign. But Thutmose II died soon after Thutmose III’s birth. Hatshepsut, about 16 years old, was called on to act as regent for her stepson (who happened to also be her nephew). A regent takes temporary authority and rules until the minor child can take control. It was not unusual in Egypt for a widowed queen to act as regent for her son. Even though Thutmose III was her stepson, Hatshepsut acted as a model queen regent for him, allowing herself to be seen standing behind the new king. But at some point Hatshepsut decided that she too would be a pharaoh.

Hatshepsut’s Rise

While Thutmose III was still a baby, Hatshepsut began building temples and monuments throughout the country. Inscriptions on the surviving monuments show how Hatshepsut transformed herself from a conventional queen to a pharaoh.

In a series of images on the walls of her mortuary temple, Hatshepsut presented a story of her divine conception and birth. The story begins in heaven. The mighty God Amun, the king of the gods, announces to the other deities that the time has come to father a princess who will govern Egypt: “I will join for her the Two Lands . . . I will give her all lands and all countries.” The story continues with Amun coming to Queen Ahmose (Hatshepsut’s mother) and telling her that she is to bear a baby named Khnemet-Amun Hatshepsut, which means “The one who is joined with Amun, the Foremost of Women.” When the infant is born, she is presented to Amun, who says: “Come to me in peace, daughter of my loins, beloved Maakare, thou are the king who takes possession of the diadem on the Throne of Horus of the Living eternally.”

The story continues, showing Hatshepsut consecrated to the kingship by Amun and other gods,
The story ends with pictures of an earthly coronation in which Thutmose presents his daughter Hatshepsut to the court and nominates her as his intended successor. In the inscription, Thutmose I says to Hatshepsut:

Come thou blessed one, I will take thee in my arms that thou mayest see the directions [carried out] in the palace . . . . Thy brow is adorned with the double crown united on thy head, for thou are my heir. This is my daughter Khnemet-Amun Hatshepsut, living. I put her in my place.

The story is meant to justify Hatshepsut’s rise to power, but there is no other evidence that Thutmose I intended to pass over his son (Thutmose II) to honor his daughter. And indeed, while Thutmose II was alive, Hatshepsut acted only as a queen. But after her husband died — and probably by year seven of the “reign” of Thutmose III — still a very young boy — Hatshepsut had transformed herself and announced to the world that she had been selected by her father and by the great God Amun to rule Egypt as king and pharaoh. To do so, Hatshepsut must have had both the support of the priesthood and the army.

Hatshepsut Takes the Throne

As pharaoh, Hatshepsut had enormous power. A pharaoh was an absolute ruler. A pharaoh could conscript subjects to work on labor-intensive projects like building a temple or a mortuary. The pharaoh was responsible for making laws and running the country, for collecting taxes, for storing food in case of famine, and for digging canals and maintaining law and order. As head of the armed forces, the pharaoh was also responsible for maintaining an army and keeping the country safe from foreign invaders.

In addition, the pharaoh held a divine office, representing the gods on Earth. The pharaoh’s divine status allowed him to speak directly to Amun and the other deities. The pharaoh was responsible for paying homage to the gods and officiating at religious ceremonies. Only if the pharaoh did proper service to the gods, would the gods bring prosperity to the country and ma’at would be preserved. (Ma’at was a central principle to the Egyptians, meaning justice or the preservation of balance in the universe.)

After assuming the throne, Hatshepsut changed how she was depicted in images. In her role as queen regent, Hatshepsut was always depicted in a long sheath dress and wearing a queen’s crown. But after her coronation, she was shown as wearing the customary royal clothes of a king, in a short kilt, a false beard, a broad collar, and a crown, or head cloth. With the change of dress, she made a clear distinction between her former role as queen regent and her new role as pharaoh.

A Powerful Legacy

As king, Hatshepsut embarked on a huge project of restoring the monuments of past pharaohs and building new temples throughout the “Two Lands” of Egypt (Upper and Lower Egypt) to honor the gods. She needed an efficient bureaucracy to carry out the huge projects. She relied on a staff of devoted civil servants including an architect named...
Ineni and an administrator ("steward") named Senenmut. Senenmut had held posts in the palace during her father’s reign, serving as steward of the property owned by Hatshepsut and tutor to her daughter Nefurure. Some historians believe that Senenmut may even have masterminded Hatshepsut’s rise to the throne. In any case, Senenmut is believed to have been the most powerful person in Hatshepsut’s court and a key figure in overseeing the huge construction projects.

Opposite the Karnak temple complex, on the other side of the Nile, Hatshepsut built her own mortuary temple, which included walls with inscriptions detailing her life story. The mortuary temple is named Djeser-Djeseru, which means “Holiest of Holies.” The temple has columned porticos and is built into the side of a mountain. It was unique in its time, and most agree that it is one of the most beautiful buildings in the world.

Hatshepsut is generally thought to have avoided war campaigns though she may have led a raid against Nubia or Canaan. Rather than going to war, Hatshepsut showed her strength in trading expeditions, including trips to Phoenicia to collect wood for building ships and trips to copper and turquoise mines in the Sinai.

Her most famous expedition was to an exotic place called Punt, which is thought to be along the coast of the Red Sea, in modern-day Somalia. Punt had been long known as a source for luxury goods. The expedition is depicted in pictures and brief texts carved on the walls of Hatshepsut’s mortuary temple, opposite the story of her birth. The pictures show five Egyptian sailing ships with oars arriving at Punt, meetings between Hatshepsut’s envoy named Neshi and the chief of Punt, and the expedition ships being loaded with baskets of myrrh and frankincense, bags of gold and incense, ebony, elephant tusks, and panther skins for the return journey. The pictures show Hatshepsut sitting while all the priceless goods are presented to her.

Throughout Hatshepsut’s reign, Thutmose continued in a prominent role. At one point, he even became commander of the Egyptian armies.

Blotting Out Her Legacy
Hatshepsut died after 22 years of her reign, in the year 1458 B.C. No record survives showing how or why she died. (In 2007, however, a mummy was identified as hers and tests revealed that she may have had diabetes and bone cancer.)

Her nephew Thutmose III took over the throne retaining many of the officials who had served his aunt. He began a career as warrior king, waging at least 17 successful military campaigns and creating the largest empire that Egypt had ever been. He too was a monument builder and reigned for over 50 years. He even had a large mortuary temple built for himself right next to Hatshepsut’s. Egypt continued to prosper, and the legacy of Hatshepsut’s time on the throne seemed secure.

But toward the end of his reign, some 20 years after Hatshepsut died, gangs of workmen began destroying statues, images, and titles of Hatshepsut on temples and monuments. At the site of her mortuary, crowds of men pulled down and smashed dozens of colossal statues depicting her that fronted the columns. “Hatshepsut’s Pit,” discovered in
1922, contained pieces of dozens of limestone and granite statues from which her features had been carefully destroyed. One archaeologist, who was working on the site, observed that every conceivable indignity had been heaped on the likeness of the fallen queen. Archaeologists, however, noted that in many cases it was only designations of her as pharaoh that were removed, and that her other titles such as the “king’s daughter” or “king’s wife” were untouched. Figures of Hatshepsut dressed as a pharaoh were chiseled out while pictures of her as a queen were not. In other cases, her name and titles were replaced with those of Thutmose III’s son, Amenhotep. (He like his father was born the son of a secondary wife.)

Indeed, Hatshepsut’s legacy was almost lost for thousands of years. Only in the last 200 years have archaeologists been able to reconstruct her story. Hatshepsut created the most successful female kingship in the ancient world. By reinventing herself and taking on the attributes of a male pharaoh, Hatshepsut is today recognized as one of the great monarchs of ancient Egypt.

DISCUSSION & WRITING
1. Who was Hatshepsut? Using evidence from the article, describe the challenges she had to overcome.
2. What was ma’at? Why was it important to a pharaoh and the ancient Egyptians?
3. What did Hatshepsut accomplish as pharaoh? How did she attempt to assure her legacy?

ACTIVITY: Archaeologist Roundtable

In drawing conclusions about matters in ancient history, archaeologists and historians sometimes have to rely on limited evidence because much of the record of the time has been lost. Consider this question: Why were the statues and titles of Hatshepsut destroyed?

Different conclusions have been drawn over time. Here are some theories:

The Evil Stepmother: On finding Hatshepsut’s Pit and other sites of defacement, some scholars concluded that her memory was attacked by a vengeful Thutmose III, who resented her for seizing the throne and hated her for keeping him out of power.

The Protective Father: More recently, scholars have questioned the first theory, noting the nature of the destruction and other facts, and have theorized that Thutmose was more likely trying to undercut Hatshepsut’s claim as pharaoh to assure that his own son would be protected from others possibly claiming kingship through blood lines to Hatshepsut.

The Too Powerful Woman: Others have theorized that the attacks on Hatshepsut’s memory were motivated by a sense that by asserting herself as pharaoh, she had violated long-held religious beliefs and traditions, and authorities were trying to blot out the memory.

Imagine you are archaeologists at a conference, working on the question presented above.

1. Working individually, review the three theories and reread the article carefully noting facts and evidence that might support or refute each of the theories.
2. Form small panels and appoint a discussion leader to address the following questions:
   a. What evidence supports Theory A? (Repeat the question for Theories B and C.)
   b. What evidence refutes Theory A? (Repeat the question for Theories B and C.)
   c. Based on the evidence, which theory is the most valid?
3. Select a spokesperson to report and cite evidence for your findings to the rest of the panels. Be prepared to answer questions. When all of the panels have reported, take a vote to determine which of the theories has the most support among the archaeologists assembled.
Standards Addressed

**Madison & Bill of Rights**

National High School U.S. History Standard B: Understands the institutions and practices of government created during the Revolution and how these elements were revised during 1787 and 1815 to create the foundation of the American political system based on the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. (2) Understands how Federalists and Anti-Federalists differed (e.g., their arguments for and against the Constitution of 1787, . . . , their backgrounds, service during the Revolution, political experience). (3) Understands the Bill of Rights and various challenges to it (e.g., arguments by Federalists and Anti-Federalists over the need for a Bill of Rights . . . ).

National High School Civics Standard B: Understands the central ideas of American constitutional government and how this form of government has shaped the character of American society. (1) Knows major historical events that led to the creation of limited government in the United States (e.g., . . . Bill of Rights (1791) . . . )

National High School Civics Standard 15: Understands how the United States Constitution grants and distributes power and responsibilities to national and state government and how it seeks to prevent the abuse of power. (1) Understands how the overall design and specific features of the Constitution prevent the abuse of power . . . to protect individual rights . . . using a system of checks and balances (e.g., . . . the Bill of Rights).

Common Core Standard RH.11B12.4: Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including analyzing how an author uses and refines the meaning of a key term over the course of a text.

California History-Social Science Standard 11.1: Students analyze the significant events in the founding of the nation and its attempts to realize the philosophy of government described in the Declaration of Independence. (1) Analyze . . . the debates on the drafting and ratification of the Constitution and the addition of the Bill of Rights.

California History-Social Science Standard 12.1: Students explain the fundamental principles and moral values of American democracy as expressed in the U.S. Constitution and other essential documents of American democracy. (6) Understand that the Bill of Rights limits the powers of the federal government and state governments.

**Hatshepsut**

National High School World History Standard 5: Understands the political, social, and cultural consequences of population movements and militarization in Eurasia in the second millennium BCE. (2) Understands the beliefs and accomplishments of . . . Egyptian rulers . . . .

Common Core Standard RH.11B12.2: Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of primary and secondary sources, connecting insights gained from specific details to an understanding of the text as a whole.

Common Core Standard RH.11B12.3: Evaluate various explanations for actions or events and determine which explanation best accords with textual evidence, acknowledging where the text leaves matters uncertain.

California History-Social Science Standard 6.2: Students analyze the geographic, political, economic, religious, and social structures of the early civilizations of Mesopotamia, Egypt, and Kush. (7) Understand the significance of Queen Hatshepsut . . . .

Standards reprinted with permission:

National Standards © 2000 McREL, Mid-continental Research for Education and Learning, 2550 S. Parker Road, Ste. 500, Aurora, CO 80014, (303)337.0990.

California Standards copyrighted by the California Dept. of Education, P.O. Box 271, Sacramento, CA 95812.

**Sources**

**Madison & Bill of Rights**


**Hatshepsut**
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People v. Shem  
Art theft and consent to search

Grades 9-12

A graduate student in fine arts, defendant Evan Shem is a talented artist with a knack for recreating famous works of art. Shem is accused of stealing a painting from the art gallery where he interned and replacing it with a fake.

Pretrial issue: Can Shem’s roommate consent to the search of a storage cabinet located in an unattached parking carport or did the search violate the Fourth Amendment protection against unreasonable searches and seizures?

Landmarks: Historic U.S. Supreme Court Decisions

Grades 9-12

U.S. Supreme Court cases have greatly affected U.S. history. Let your students discover some of the most important cases. Each reading in the student text focuses on one case, giving historical background, exploring the majority and dissenting opinions, and explaining the case’s significance.

A separate teacher’s guide contains lesson plans for each reading. The plans include focus activities, discussion questions with suggested answers, step-by-step instructions for interactive activities, and debriefing questions and suggestions.

The student text begins with a reading on how the Supreme Court works. The book continues with readings on the following important cases:

Marbury v. Madison (1803) • McCulloch v. Maryland (1819) • Gibbons v. Ogden (1824)

Web Links: Landmarks Links offer extensive links to more information on each case and on how the Supreme Court works.

Police Patrol  
Simulation for 20-35 players

Grades 5-12

Perfect for students from fifth through 12th grade and beyond, Police Patrol is an innovative curriculum that will open communication and break down stereotypes between police and students. Students get an opportunity to have positive interactions with police officers, to learn about police work, and to work on improving police-community relations. Police Patrol consists of these sessions:

Pre- and Post-Survey and Discussion for measuring the change in student attitudes.

Police Patrol Simulation. This powerful simulation engages youth and police officers in a structured activity that builds understanding and communication. Students are provided an opportunity to “take a walk” in an officer’s shoes as they become police officers and citizens interacting in typical police call situations. The simulation puts adult officers in the roles of mentors and coaches to help youth officers handle calls using police procedures.

Police-Community Relations Discussion. Following the simulations, students and police officers discuss ideas for improving police-community relations.

Project Planning. Students design a service project to improve police-community relations. A list of project ideas is included along with instruction on planning, implementing, and evaluating projects.

This publication includes step-by-step instructions for conducting each session, handouts, discussion questions, and pre- and post-surveys for officers and students. To facilitate duplication, the packet includes separate masters of all handouts.

ORDER ONLINE: www.crf-usa.org/publications
The Development of Law Series
Linked to world history standards
Grades 9-12

One of our most popular texts returns in a new edition — *Of Codes & Crowns* is fully revised and updated. And it now has a companion volume, *Of Democrats & Dictators*, which begins where *Codes* leaves off. The two volumes trace the development of law from ancient Mesopotamia to modern times. Each volume features lessons with:

- short, high-interest readings
- discussion questions to facilitate understanding
- interactive activities to foster critical thinking

Each volume has an extensive teacher's guide containing more than 20 lesson plans. The plans include focus activities, discussion questions with suggested answers, step-by-step instructions for interactive activities, and debriefing questions and suggestions.

**Web Links**: Our web site has links to additional resources for each unit.
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Over the last 15 months, CRF has been tightly aligning popular existing articles from Bill of Rights in Action to Common Core State Standards and has developed new resources to help you teach with the rigor and depth called for in the standards. These resources are FREE and available ONLINE.

Online Lessons

• A Fire Waiting to be Lit: The Origins of World War I
• The Great Qing Code: Law and Order During China’s Last Dynasty
• Saved From the Gallows – The Trial of Leopold and Loeb
• The Marshall Plan for Rebuilding Europe
• The Free Exercise of Religion in America
• Affirmative Action in American Colleges After Fisher v. Texas
• Women in the Military
• Harriet Tubman and the End of Slavery
• Sam Houston: A Study in Leadership
And many more!

Webinars

View the webinars online and download the webinar materials.

• What’s Really Being Said: Close Reading of Historical Primary Source Documents
• Teaching the Bill of Rights with a Visitor from Outer Space!
• Civic Action Project: Project-Based Learning Gets Students Ready for Their Future

We will be adding additional webinars.

Use a lesson in your classroom or review it online! Every time you use or review one of our Common Core resources and complete our survey, you will be entered into a monthly drawing for a $100 gift card. Take the survey here: www.crf-usa.org/common-core/survey.

Upcoming Professional Learning for our Civic Action Project!

FREE WEBINAR with the National Writing Project
Civic Engagement + Writing = Uncommonly Good Idea!

Our Civic Action Project (CAP) was profiled in a new book, Uncommonly Good Ideas, published by the National Writing Project. Join the authors of the book and the CAP teacher who was profiled to explore what happens when students are writing about and discussing issues they care about.

Oh…and the first 25 teachers to register and attend the webinar will get a free copy of Uncommonly Good Ideas!

When: Tuesday, October 20, 2015 from 3:30 – 4:30 (Pacific Time)  Register: www.crf-usa.org/pd

Face-to-Face Professional Development
Turn Your Government Classroom Into an Exciting Civics Lab!

Texas Conference for the Social Studies, Fort Worth Convention Center Saturday, October 31, 2015
Register here: http://txcss.net/tcss-conference/2015-conference/

This Is Not a Test: Project-Based Learning in U.S. Government
Register here: http://www.socialstudies.org/conference
Funded by a grant from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, CRF’s Common Core resources web page brings you resources to help you take your students deeper into history, geography, U.S. government, civics, and more. These resources support the best practices of teaching aligned with the Common Core State Standards. This grant is specific to social studies, which means that social studies teachers will not simply be in a supporting role for the work of our ELA colleagues. (Even if you are not in a state mandating Common Core, you will find these new resources beneficial to your teaching.)

Our Common Core web page features:

- Primary and secondary source texts for in-depth discussion and close reading.
- Writing and research project ideas.
- Rubrics and assessment tools.
- Online professional learning for selected lessons.

Upcoming lessons will include the Magna Carta, the First Amendment, and more! See page 15 for additional information.

Have an idea? What topic or lesson that already exists in our *Bill of Rights in Action* archives would you like to see enhanced for Common Core-based instruction? Send your suggestions to Damon Huss at damon@crf-usa.org.

Supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation