Freedom of Movement—Lesson Plan

Student Objectives

• Discuss the nature of human migration of groups and individuals and its impact on democratic societies.

• Appreciate the tensions created by having one set of rights and privileges for citizen workers and other sets of rights and privileges for non-citizen workers.

• Understand the concept of guest worker programs and the difference between state-authorized and unauthorized immigration between countries.

• Examine different historical examples of guest worker programs in Europe and North America.

• Explore the influence of economic forces on democratic values and legal protections of persons in different democratic societies.

• Analyze the reasons supporting and opposing the government’s adopting a guest worker program.

• Identify areas of agreement and disagreement with other students.

• Decide, individually and as a group, whether the government should adopt a guest worker program; support decisions based on evidence and sound reasoning.

• Reflect on the value of deliberation when deciding issues in a democracy.

Question for Deliberation

Should our democracy have a guest worker program?

Materials

• Lesson Procedures

• Handout 1—Deliberation Guide

• Handout 2—Deliberation Worksheet

• Handout 3—Student Reflection on Deliberation

• Reading

• Selected Resources

• Deliberation Question with Arguments (optional—use if students have difficulty extracting the arguments or time is limited)
Freedom of Movement—Reading

Every country has the right to control who crosses its borders, but people have always moved from one country to another in search of work. Today, millions of people cross national borders. The United Nations estimated in 2005 that the number of migrants worldwide exceeded 190 million people. Because many democracies need workers, they offer work visas and other temporary arrangements for people to live and work in their countries. Entry restrictions, however, are often severe. Due to desperate economic conditions in their home countries, millions of people enter richer, more democratic nations illegally in search of work.

While foreign workers do not have the privileges and responsibilities of citizens in democracies, these workers are also human beings who have certain basic rights. Democracies try to create a fair and legal system in which both citizen and foreign workers can participate. One proposed solution is a guest worker program.

Migration and Work: Tensions and Opportunities

People have moved from one place to another to find work since before the creation of the modern nation state. Sometimes workers were invited or encouraged to work in new places by either the host or parent government. In the eighteenth century, for example, the czars invited German artisans to settle in and help modernize that country. The East India Trading Company, created by Great Britain in the seventeenth century, openly encouraged settlers to come and work
in territories where it did business. At other times, such as in the settlement of the American colonies and later the United States, people were lured by the prospect of work, wealth, and a fresh start. Sometimes such programs were primarily for single men, such as Chinese immigrant workers in the nineteenth century American West. On other occasions, whole families went to work and live in a new land.

The privileges of workers in other countries also varied according to time and place. In many cases, workers had specific privileges established through royal decree. Often, however, there were limitations on the ability to buy land, on participation in certain trades, and on activities such as seeking religious converts. How long workers planned or were permitted to stay also varied from place to place, from a few years to centuries. Rarely were foreign workers, as a group, permitted to adopt the political powers and privileges of citizenship.

Migrant workers have always evoked strong and often contradictory emotions in their host countries. On the one hand, these nations have work, often in low-skill, low-paying jobs, that immigrants are willing to do. In Russia alone, there are an estimated 10 to 12 million foreign workers, many of them from Azerbaijan, Georgia, and other former Soviet republics. “Russia relies tremendously on immigrant labor, because it is facing a very difficult demographic crisis,” says John Litwack of the World Bank. “It is in Russia’s interests to maintain favorable conditions for migrants, particularly from the former Soviet Union.” Most are working without authorization. Similar demands for workers can be found in the United States, Germany, the Czech Republic, the Baltic countries, and in Scandinavia.

On the other hand, native workers and ordinary citizens frequently oppose immigration. American history is replete with examples of anti-immigration laws, attitudes, protests, and even violence, and there are similar feelings and actions today. In Russia, anti-immigration sentiment
led to the enactment of a new law in 2007 that limits foreign workers and includes increased police action against illegal workers. Citizens worry about the economic consequences of illegal and foreign workers, who often work for low pay because they are afraid to protest their labor conditions. Citizens also fear that their national identities and democratic traditions will be overwhelmed by immigrants with different customs and languages.

**Gastarbeiter and Braceros: Historical Examples of Guest Worker Programs**

Democracies attempt to balance the benefits and challenges of immigration through many means, including temporary worker programs. Two twentieth-century examples are useful for study: the *gastarbeiter* program in West Germany and the *braceros* program in the United States.

**Germany.** After the Second World War, the Federal Republic of Germany (West Germany) experienced a labor shortage. In response, it recruited foreign migrants to work in the country temporarily. According to Veysel Oezcan of the Social Science Centre Berlin, these migrants, called *gastarbeiter* (“guest workers”), came to work mostly in industrial jobs that required few high-level skills. Using a “rotation principle,” the mostly male migrants were required to return home after one or two years in order to make room for other guest workers. By 1973 when the program ended, West Germany hosted four million foreigners—6.7 percent of the country’s population. Nearly one in four foreign workers came from Turkey.

“The idea, originally,” said Michael Bommes of the Institute for Migration Research in Germany, “was that the foreign workers would stay as long as economically necessary, then go home. It didn’t quite go like that.” Instead, many *gastarbeiter* stayed longer than their original term. Work was prosperous and benefited both employers and workers. When the program ended, there were no jobs to return to in their home country, and the great majority of
gasterbeiter stayed in West Germany. Rich Jones and Heather McGregor of the Bell Policy Center in Colorado explain that “because the program was billed as temporary, neither the German government nor migrant Turks made much effort at assimilation. As a result, many Turks live in rough neighborhoods with high unemployment and second-rate schools, and many still don't speak German.” Until recently, children who were born in Germany of gasterbeiter parents were not granted German citizenship and were considered foreigners under the law; a change in 2000 made citizenship easier for persons not of German ancestry. “We came as guest workers and 40 years later we are still guest workers,” said Recep Tuerkoglu, a Turkish leader in Germany. “But the third generation will be German.”

United States. “Between 1942 and 1964, some 4.6 million Mexicans were admitted to the United States as braceros or guest workers to fill jobs on U.S. farms,” according to Philip Martin of the Center for Migration Studies. The program was instituted during the First World War, the Second World War, and the first decades of the Cold War to cover “wartime emergencies” caused by labor shortages. Like the West German program, the U.S. program emphasized unskilled labor. Unlike the Turkish gastarbeiter, however, the Mexican braceros (the Spanish word for “laborers”) came from a country bordering the United States; in fact, many states in the United States were part of Mexico until the Mexican-American War of 1848. As one result, many unauthorized Mexican agricultural workers joined the legal braceros in working on American farms. The fluid nature of the migrants’ work and the generally open border policies of Mexico and the United States led to a relatively easy transition for these workers from home to host country and back again. Many decided to stay in the United States.

Martin concludes from the experience in Germany and the United States that “there is nothing more permanent than temporary workers.”
Democracies and Guest Worker Programs

Although guest worker programs differ in specific details, they generally share common features. They are designed to add foreign workers to the labor force without increasing the permanent resident population. Workers are permitted into the host country only for a specific period of time. They hold a different status from regular immigrants who have the opportunity to become citizens. In addition, guest workers are usually sponsored by a specific employer.

The European Union introduced in 2007 an initiative to create a temporary visa program with African countries to promote “circular migration”—that is, “sending countries incentives to make sure their nationals return home and instituting penalties if they don’t” (Gerson, 2007). The hope is to create a better, more successful version of the *gasterbeiter* system.

The United States already has a guest worker program of sorts. However, responding in part to the pressure created by unauthorized immigration, U.S. President George W. Bush has proposed a more robust guest worker program based on three principles. First, guest workers would be hired “only for jobs that Americans have not taken.” Second, guest workers would work only for a limited period of time before a required trip home; those who failed to leave would become ineligible for legal immigration status or for citizenship. Third, the number of guest workers permitted under the program would depend on what the American economy required. Foreign workers now in the country illegally would be required to pay a “substantial penalty” and go to the “back of the line” when applying for citizenship.

Guest Worker Programs: Supporters and Opponents

Supporters of guest worker programs argue that people will continue to look for work where jobs are available, whether they can work legally or not. Democracies, however, depend on the
rule of law. A guest worker program provides a legal structure for immigrants who want to work but not permanently settle in the country. They argue that guest worker programs provide specific legal protections in the workplace. Unlike illegal migrants, whose labor and safety can be exploited, a guest worker program makes sure that the basic rights of all workers are protected in the workplace. A guest worker program also makes better use of limited law enforcement resources, by letting police devote time and money to hunting criminals, smugglers, and even terrorists who try to enter undetected instead of ordinary people just looking for temporary work.

In addition, supporters say that guest worker programs can help the nation meet the ebbs and flows of worldwide economic forces. Because of globalization, workers cannot sit still and wait for jobs to come to them. By permitting foreign workers to come and go as the economy requires, democracies and workers both benefit.

Guest worker programs also recognize that the needs of temporary workers and of immigrants are different. Temporary workers just want to make money to send back to their families or to return to their host countries as richer people. By contrast, immigrants want a new life in a new land. A guest worker program deals with the needs of temporary foreign workers and leaves the regular immigration process intact. This distinction helps everyone—guest worker, immigrant, and citizen—and promotes social harmony.

Opponents of guest worker programs see no real difference between an immigrant and a temporary foreign worker. When guest workers remain without proper authorization, they enter the illegal underground economy the program was supposed to eliminate. Capturing and deporting guest workers who do not want to leave will only increase the legal, social, and international costs associated with other illegal immigrants.
Critics also suggest that guest worker programs are really designed to avoid paying all workers a living wage. To compete, employers of citizens and legal immigrants will have to keep their own labor costs down, leaving the workers with less money and fewer benefits.

In addition to economic costs, opponents also worry about the democratic costs of a guest worker program. Having different rules for two groups of legal workers means one group is second-class and more likely to suffer exploitation. Moreover, legal immigrants will be forced to prove they are rightly here, creating resentment and increasing tensions with the police. The legal apparatus necessary to monitor guest workers may seep into the legal system for citizens, thus reducing everyone’s rights.

Far from promoting harmony, critics argue, guest worker programs foster alienation. Guest workers get fewer benefits and privileges than citizen workers, and they lack any incentive to integrate into the larger society. As a result, guest workers lack incentives to be good citizens and form their own closed communities that are mistrusting within and mistrusted without. These conditions can lead to unrest, violence, and even terrorism.

In the end, workers are people, with human needs, dreams, and rights. Creating ways for workers, employers, and countries to interact to the benefit of all remains a recurring democratic problem.
Freedom of Movement—Selected Resources


European Migration Centre (EMZ), http://www.emz-berlin.de/emz_e/emc_e_01.htm.


Samuelson, Robert J. “We Don’t Need ‘Guest Workers’,” Washington Post (March 22, 2006).

Freedom of Movement—Deliberation Question with Arguments

Deliberation Question

Should our democracy have a guest worker program?

YES—Arguments to Support the Deliberation Question

1. Workers are like water: they will flow to where the jobs are. Poor workers will come to work whether it is officially “legal” or not. Democracies, however, depend on the rule of law. A guest worker program provides a legal structure for immigrants who want to work but not permanently settle in the country.

2. Illegal immigration means a larger class of persons who can be exploited in the workplace. This situation leads to workplace abuses and lower wages overall for legal immigrant workers and native workers. A guest worker program makes sure that the basic rights of all workers are protected.

3. A guest worker program is the best way to make use of limited law enforcement resources. Illegal immigration strains an entire border, and the effort to detect and capture people crossing the border illegally uses police time and equipment. Citizens also suffer because of strains placed on social welfare systems. A guest worker program permits people to legally enter the country through designated ports and crossings, leaving time for the police to hunt the criminals, smugglers, and possible terrorists who wish to enter undetected.

4. A guest worker program can help the nation meet the ebbs and flows of worldwide economic forces. Economies are cyclical, and in the era of globalization workers cannot sit still and wait for jobs to come to them. Permitting foreign workers to come and go as the economy requires will benefit both foreign and native workers alike.

5. The needs of temporary workers are different from those of immigrants. Foreign workers have no intention of staying, and most save their money to send back to their families or to return to their host countries as richer people. Immigrants want a new life in a new land. A guest worker program deals with the needs of temporary foreign workers and leaves the regular immigration process intact.

6. Ambiguity breeds uncertainty, and uncertainty breeds mistrust. A guest worker program creates clear distinctions between those who want to join society permanently and those who simply want to earn extra money for themselves and their families back home.
Deliberation Question

Should our democracy have a guest worker program?

NO—Arguments to Oppose the Deliberation Question

1. A guest worker program is founded on the illusion that there is a difference between an immigrant and a temporary foreign worker. Workers who come with the intention of just working for a short period of time change their minds and want to say. A guest worker program fails to account for the possibility of these families taking root in their new country.

2. A guest worker program will do little to address the problem of illegal immigration. If guest workers stay without proper authorization, then they will enter the illegal underground economy that the program was supposed to eliminate in the first place. Capturing and deporting guest workers who do not want to leave will only increase the legal, social, and international costs associated with other illegal immigrants.

3. A guest worker program is a way to avoid paying all workers a living wage. People will do any job: the real question is what employers are willing to pay and what people want to be paid to do it. Because guest workers are a captive work force who cannot complain about about wages or working conditions, employers of citizens and legal immigrants will have to keep their own labor costs down, leaving the workers with less money and few benefits.

4. A guest worker program is at odds with democratic principles. Having two sets of rules for two groups of workers means having two sets of rights. Because of their second-class status, guest workers are more likely to suffer exploitation and less likely to report abuse. Guest workers will experience the same problems that unauthorized migrants experience now.

5. A guest worker program will have significant negative consequences for the legal rights of citizens and legal immigrants. Legal immigrants will be forced to prove that they are rightly here, creating resentment and increasing tensions with the police. The legal apparatus needed to monitor guest workers will seep into the legal system, reducing everyone’s rights.

6. Guest worker programs foster alienation and social unrest. Guest workers get fewer benefits and privileges than citizen workers, a situation that often creates resentment. Without incentives to be good citizens and integrate into the larger society, guest workers form their own insular communities. These conditions can lead to violence and even terrorism.
Lesson Procedures

Step One: Introduction

Introduce the lesson and the Student Objectives on the Lesson Plan. Distribute and discuss Handout 1—Deliberation Guide. Review the Rules of Deliberation and post them in a prominent position in the classroom. Emphasize that the class will deliberate and then debrief the experience.

Step Two: Reading

Distribute a copy of the Reading to each student. Have students read the article carefully and underline facts and ideas they think are important and/or interesting (ideally for homework).

Step Three: Grouping and Reading Discussion

Divide the class into groups of four or five students. Group members should share important facts and interesting ideas with each other to develop a common understanding of the article. They can record these facts and ideas on Handout 2—Deliberation Activities (Review the Reading).

Step Four: Introducing the Deliberation Question

Each Reading addresses a Deliberation Question. Read aloud and/or post the Deliberation Question and ask students to write the Deliberation Question in the space provided on Handout 2. Remind students of the Rules for Deliberation on Handout 1.

Step Five: Learning the Reasons

Divide each group into two teams, Team A and Team B. Explain that each team is responsible for selecting the most compelling reasons for its position, which you will assign. Both teams should reread the Reading. Team A will find the most compelling reasons to support the Deliberation Question. Team B will find the most compelling reasons to oppose the Deliberation Question. To ensure maximum participation, ask everyone on the team to prepare to present at least one reason.

Note: Team A and Team B do not communicate while learning the reasons. If students need help identifying the arguments or time is limited, use the Deliberation Question with Arguments handouts. Ask students to identify the most compelling arguments and add any additional ones they may remember from the reading.

Step Six: Presenting the Most Compelling Reasons

Tell students that each team will present the most compelling reasons to support or oppose the Deliberation Question. In preparation for the next step, Reversing Positions, have each team listen carefully for the most compelling reasons.
• Team A will explain their reasons for supporting the Deliberation Question. If Team B does not understand something, they should ask questions but NOT argue.
• Team B will explain their reasons for opposing the Deliberation Question. If Team A does not understand something, they should ask questions, but NOT argue.

Note: The teams may not believe in or agree with their reasons but should be as convincing as possible when presenting them to others.

Step Seven: Reversing Positions

Explain that, to demonstrate that each side understands the opposing arguments, each team will select the other team’s most compelling reasons.
• Team B will explain to Team A what Team A’s most compelling reasons were for supporting the Deliberation Question.
• Team A will explain to Team B what Team B’s most compelling reasons were for opposing the Deliberation Question.

Step Eight: Deliberating the Question

Explain that students will now drop their roles and deliberate the question as a group. Remind the class of the question. In deliberating, students can (1) use what they have learned about the issue and (2) offer their personal experiences as they formulate opinions regarding the issue.

After deliberating, have students find areas of agreement in their group. Then ask students, as individuals, to express to the group their personal position on the issue and write it down (see My Personal Position on Handout 2).

Note: Individual students do NOT have to agree with the group.

Step Nine: Debriefing the Deliberation

Reconvene the entire class. Distribute Handout 3—Student Reflection on Deliberation as a guide. Ask students to discuss the following questions:
• What were the most compelling reasons for each side?
• What were the areas of agreement?
• What questions do you still have? Where can you get more information?
• What are some reasons why deliberating this issue is important in a democracy?
• What might you or your class do to address this problem? Options include teaching others about what they have learned; writing to elected officials, NGOs, or businesses; and conducting additional research.

Consider having students prepare personal reflections on the Deliberation Question through written, visual, or audio essays. Personal opinions can be posted on the web.

Step Ten: Student Poll/Student Reflection

Ask students: “Do you agree, disagree, or are you still undecided about the Deliberation Question?” Record the responses and have a student post the results on www.deliberating.org under the partnerships and/or the polls. Have students complete Handout 3.
Handout 1—Deliberation Guide

What Is Deliberation?
Deliberation (meaningful discussion) is the focused exchange of ideas and the analysis of arguments with the aim of making a decision.

Why Are We Deliberating?
Citizens must be able and willing to express and exchange ideas among themselves, with community leaders, and with their representatives in government. Citizens and public officials in a democracy need skills and opportunities to engage in civil public discussion of controversial issues in order to make informed policy decisions. Deliberation requires keeping an open mind, as this skill enables citizens to reconsider a decision based on new information or changing circumstances.

What Are the Rules for Deliberation?
• Read the material carefully.
• Focus on the deliberation question.
• Listen carefully to what others are saying.
• Check for understanding.
• Analyze what others say.
• Speak and encourage others to speak.
• Refer to the reading to support your ideas.
• Use relevant background knowledge, including life experiences, in a logical way.
• Use your heart and mind to express ideas and opinions.
• Remain engaged and respectful when controversy arises.
• Focus on ideas, not personalities.
Handout 2—Deliberation Activities

Review the Reading

Determine the most important facts and/or interesting ideas and write them below.

1) __________________________________________________________________________
2) __________________________________________________________________________
3) __________________________________________________________________________

Deliberation Question

Learning the Reasons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons to Support the Deliberation Question (Team A)</th>
<th>Reasons to Oppose the Deliberation Question (Team B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

My Personal Position

On a separate sheet of paper, write down reasons to support your opinion. You may suggest another course of action than the policy proposed in the question or add your own ideas to address the underlying problem.
Handout 3—Student Reflection on Deliberation

Large Group Discussion: What We Learned

What were the most compelling reasons for each side?

Side A: Side B:

What were the areas of agreement?

What questions do you still have? Where can you get more information?

What are some reasons why deliberating this issue is important in a democracy?

What might you and/or your class do to address this problem?

Individual Reflection: What I Learned

Which number best describes your understanding of the focus issue? [circle one]

![Understanding Scale]

1 2 3 4 5

NO DEEPER UNDERSTANDING MUCH DEEPER UNDERSTANDING

What new insights did you gain?

What did you do well in the deliberation? What do you need to work on to improve your personal deliberation skills?

What did someone else in your group do or say that was particularly helpful? Is there anything the group should work on to improve the group deliberation?